

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name** 

**Baylor Surgical Hospital** 

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M415-1228-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

December 19, 2014

Respondent Name

Trumball Insurance Co

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 47

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "The total allowed amount of the claim is \$10,598.80. There is a remainder balance of \$3,789.45 that was not paid at 200%."

Amount in Dispute: \$3,789.45

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The provider is requesting reimbursement for the implants. The mark-up is 130% not 200% (Rule 134.403 (f). The allowance of CPT 25608 was paid correctly. CPT 93005 is a Status S code. Per coding guidelines, CPT 93005 is inclusive to CPT 25608. Additional reimbursement has been made for the implants in the amount of \$2134.37 in accordance with Rule 134.403(g)."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 30, 2014	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$3,789.45	\$213.43

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

## **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the fee guidelines for outpatient acute care hospital services.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - 16 Claim/service lacks information which is needed for adjudication
  - 97 Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
  - 906 In accordance with clinical based coding edits (National Correct Coding Initiative/Outpatient Code Editor). Component code of comprehensive medicine. Evaluation and management services procedure (90000-99999) has been disallowed.

#### **Issues**

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

### **Findings**

- 1. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was requested. Therefore, per §134.403(f)(1)(B), the facility specific reimbursement amount including outlier payments is multiplied by 130 percent. Per §134.403(f)(2), when calculating outlier payment amounts, the facility's total billed charges shall be reduced by the facility's billed charges for any item reimbursed separately under §134.403(g). The facility's total billed charges for the separately reimbursed implantable items are \$2,134.37. Accordingly, the facility's total billed charges shall be reduced by this amount for the purpose of calculating any outlier payments below.
- 2. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
  - Procedure code C1713 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code C1769 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code 25608 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 0064, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$5,383.64. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$3,230.18. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9549 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$3,084.50. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$2,153.46. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$5,237.96. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,900. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$5,237.96. This amount multiplied by 130% yields a MAR of \$6,809.35.
  - Procedure code J0131 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code J0690 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code J1100 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code J1885 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code J2001 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code J2250 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code J3010 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.

- Per Medicare policy, procedure code 93005 may not be reported with procedure code 25608 billed on the same claim. Payment for this service is included in the payment for the primary procedure. Separate payment is not recommended.
- 3. Additionally, the provider requested separate reimbursement of implantables. Per §134.403(g), "Implantables, when billed separately by the facility or a surgical implant provider in accordance with subsection (f)(1)(B) of this section, shall be reimbursed at the lesser of the manufacturer's invoice amount or the net amount (exclusive of rebates and discounts) plus 10 percent or \$1,000 per billed item add-on, whichever is less, but not to exceed \$2,000 in add-on's per admission." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the separate implantables include:
  - "2.4mm va-lcp 2-co screw" as identified in the itemized statement and labeled on the invoice as "2.4mm va-lcp 2-col" with a cost per unit of \$954.38;
  - "2.4mm va locking screw" as identified in the itemized statement and labeled on the invoice as "2.4mm va locking screw" with a cost per unit of \$133.94 at 2 units, for a total cost of \$267.88;
  - "2.4 mm va locking screw" as identified in the itemized statement and labeled on the invoice as "2.4mm va locking screw" with a cost per unit of \$133.94 at 3 units, for a total cost of \$401.82;
  - "2.4mm va locking screw" as identified in the itemized statement and labeled on the invoice as "screw 2.4 x14" with a cost per unit of \$153.67 at 2 units, for a total cost of \$307.34;
  - "2.4mm va locking screw" as identified in the itemized statement and labeled on the invoice as "screw 2.4 x14" with a cost per unit of \$153.67;
  - "1.25mm non-threaded guide wire" as identified in the itemized statement and labeled on the invoice as "1.25mm non-threaded guide wire" with a cost per unit of \$24.64 at 2 units, for a total cost of \$49.28.

The total net invoice amount (exclusive of rebates and discounts) is \$2,134.37. The total add-on amount of 10% or \$1,000 per billed item add-on, whichever is less, but not to exceed \$2,000 in add-on's per admission is \$213.44. The total recommended reimbursement amount for the implantable items is \$2,347.81.

4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$9,157.16. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$8,943.72 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$213.43. This amount is recommended.

## Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$213.43.

## **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$213.43, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

# **Authorized Signature**

		March 5, 2015
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

## YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.